

TITHE RENT-CHARGE AVERAGES.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE DUBLIN EVENING MAIL.
November, 10, 1856.

SIR—As the public mind is now excited on the subject of the averages in the tithe rent-charge, may I request the insertion of a certificate of tithe composition, together with a letter, which I have addressed to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for Ireland? I enclose my card, and am yours faithfully,

RECTOR AND VICAR OF ——

COPY OF TITHE COMPOSITION CERTIFICATE FOR THE PARISH OF ——

"We, the Reverend ——, and ——, Esq., Commissioners, duly appointed and sworn under and by virtue of an act made in the fourth year of the reign of King George the Fourth, entitled 'An Act to provide for the establishment of Composition for Tithes in Ireland for a limited time' to ascertain and fix a true and just composition for all tithes arising, growing, yielded, or payable within the parish of ——, in the counties of ——, do hereby certify that the true and just amount of composition for all tithes whatever within said parish is —— sterling British, by the year, of which sum of —— sterling British, the whole is due and payable to the ——, as a composition for the tithes claimable by him as rector and vicar of the said parish.

"And we do further certify that the average price of wheat, &c., &c.

"And that the average price of oats, being the corn principally grown in the county of ——, for the period of seven years, ending the first day of November, one thousand eight hundred and twenty-one, is fifteen shillings and two pence farthing per barrel, according to the average prices extracted from the *Dublin Gazette*.

Dated the 29th day of October, 1827.

[Commissioners of the Parish of ——]

TO THE ECCLESIASTICAL COMMISSIONERS FOR IRELAND.
Nov. 10, 1856.

SIR—As a clergyman deeply interested in the question of the tithe rent-charge averages, respecting which a suit has been lately carried on, and, as I conceive, an unfair decision come to, I would earnestly call upon the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for Ireland not to suffer the property of the church to suffer loss by any want of effort to put the parties set aside the judgment delivered against them by the Archdeacon of Tuam at the December-Sessions last month.

The question may fairly be argued before the Queen's Bench, and full evidence brought forward; there can be little doubt as to the result; and, therefore, no expense should be spared on a point of such moment.

The law, I am aware, does not permit any alteration in the certificate of composition, but it does permit its proper reading and construction, and the following statement of ——, surviving Tithe Commissioner for the parish of ——, surviving Tithe Commissioner for the parish of ——, shows, in the clearest possible manner, what that reading and construction should be of my certificate, and, of course, of the certificate for Westport, as both certificates are alike in respect of the averages.

It will be clearly shown that £15s. 2d. was never intended or meant by the Tithe Commissioners, in either case, to be any other than 'Irish Currency,' as the averages would have been absolutely incorrect were this sum of £15s. 2d. taken as British money.

K.— Nov. 6, 1856.

"I have no hesitation in saying that the intention of the Commissioners in the —— case was to give the average price of grain from the *Dublin Gazette* in Irish currency, and that the word British was put after the £ on account of the recent passing changing the currency to British.

I would have expressed that average in a currency from that in which it was printed in the ——.

State Commissioner of Tithe Composition for the Parish of ——

"The words of the certificate, though dated 1827, are these— According to the average prices extracted from the *Dublin Gazette*,² and you see the period (by act of parliament) of the averages was between 1814 and 1821, the period of Irish currency, so that the insertion in the certificate of words 'Irish currency' had been, in fact, altogether superfluous!

"Under such circumstances, it appears to me (most respectfully I say it) that a heavy responsibility attaches to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for Ireland if they fail to maintain, by every means in their power, the property entrusted to their faithful guardianship.

"Amongst the many I have consulted there is not a dissentient opinion as to the reading of the certificate in the sense of 'Irish currency,' and reading it so, saves the unfair reduction of the tithe rent-charge.—I have the honor to be, &c.,

"Incumbent of ——"

TITHE COMMUTATION.
Sir.—As your readers may feel anxious to know the result of the corn averages for the several years to Christmas last, published by authority in the *London Gazette* of yesterday, viz.:—

s. d.

Wheat 6 6 per imperial bushel.

Barley 3 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ "

Oats 2 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ "

I beg to state for your information that each 100*t*. of tithe-rent-charges will for the year 1856, amount to £91 18s. 11*d*. which is a little more than 4 per cent. above the last year's value.

The following statement from my "Annual Tithe Commutation Tables" will show the worth of 100*t*. of the tithe-rent-charge for each year since the passing of the Tithe Commutation Act, viz.:—

	s. d.
For the year 1837	99 13 3 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1838	97 7 11
" 1839	95 7 9
" 1840	98 15 9 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1841	102 12 5 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1842	105 8 2 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1843	105 12 2 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1844	103 11 3 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1845	103 11 11 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1846	102 17 8 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1847	99 18 10 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1848	102 1 0
" 1849	100 3 7 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1850	98 16 10
" 1851	99 16 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1852	99 16 11
" 1853	91 13 5 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1854	99 19 5
" 1855	89 15 8 $\frac{1}{2}$
" 1856	93 18 1 $\frac{1}{2}$

20/1972 12 7 $\frac{1}{2}$

General average for 20 years £98 12 7 $\frac{1}{2}$

CHARLES M. WILLIAMS, Actuary,
University Life Assurance Society,
25, Suffolk-street, Pall Mall East,
12th Jan. 1856.

1857 ————— 99 13 7 $\frac{1}{2}$

THE GENERAL ESTATE TAX.
The subscriber's opinions recently obtained from the Right Hon. J. Napier, M.P., and also from one of the law officers of the crown, may prove interesting to some of our readers:—

MESSRS. G. KARREN, ESQ. QC.

I am of opinion that it is not lawful to deduct on account of poor-rates from any fractional part of a pound of tithe-rent-charge.

The question is raised on the construction of the 67th section of 1 & 2 Vic. c. 36. The deduction is a matter of positive enactment, and cannot be claimed to any greater extent than the express words of the statute fairly warrant. Under the 77th section, the deduction from each pound of the rent is allowed; but, in addition, a proportional amount "for any less sum than a pound?" These latter words are omitted in the 67th section, and I do not find any equivalent expression. The contrast of the language of the two sections justifies the inference that the decision of the provision as to fractional parts of a pound, was intended to leave undisturbed the grammatical construction of the words as they stand in this section. This plainly is to confine the deduction to each pound in value, and not to allow it for any less sum.

The course of modern decision is very strongly in favour of a literal and grammatical construction: I may add, that if it could be held that the reduction were allowable, where the sum is under One Pound, it would follow that the insertion of the express words in the 77th section, and their decision in the 77th, were equally nugatory and the same in effect.

J. JOSEPH NAPIER.

THE LANDLORD'S RIGHT TO DEDUCT THE RATE STRUCK UPON THE CROPS.
The landlord has a right to deduct the rate struck up on the crops, whether it may be from each pound which he actually pays the clergyman in May or November; but no right whatsoever to deduct the rate upon the entire rent-