

Asa's Report & One (the Report) 11 1812  
I have decided Certificates which allow the (and)  
any species of Corn are valid. This I suppose  
is on the ground that the amt. of the amt. originally  
fixed for the composition does not depend on the  
average price of any species of Corn, but  
primarily on what had been paid or agreed  
or adjudged to be paid for 7 years.

Not think of Henry May

The words with reference to in the provision  
at the end of s 32. of 1 & 2 v. c. 109 refer to the  
species of years, and not to the price of Corn.

I think the Justice must therefore first  
determine what was the species of Corn  
principally grown in the County before the  
composition was fixed.

The certificate I therefore consider to be valid,  
but I think that the Order of the Justice is  
invalid.

I think they should have dealt with it, as  
with a Certificate silent as to the species of Corn  
and should then have found which species  
was principally grown in the County at the date of the Certificate,  
and

and should have varied the composition according  
to the variation in the price of that species.

The provision at the end of the 32<sup>d</sup> Sec. of 1 & 2 v. c. 109  
creates some difficulty from the way in which it  
uses the word "Corn". But I think it must  
mean thereby the species of Corn which ought  
to have been inserted in the Certificate.

I can find no provision enabling the Justice  
to take the sum of the average prices of two  
sorts of Corn as the guide in varying the composition.

I think that the Cause to be reviewed is  
the process for the amt. of the price composition  
disregarding the order of the Justice for  
it as a nullity, as was once in Thompson  
v. Shields 3 Irish R. Reports 135.

H. H. Dodson  
November 1855