

the purpose and did request of them to accompany him to the office
house of the Clerk and to assist in investigating and ascertaining
the value of the said parish and for that purpose did invite
them to bring forward witnesses to give evidence before him on
the subject - But respondent Smith that the said O'Laughnessy
petitioners always been a leading man in opposing the payment of
tithes in said parish and who in the summer of 1832 he indeed
as Chairman at a very violent Committee meeting held at Broom
appeared to act as leader of the said Parishioners on the said
8th day of October and refused on their part to attend at the
any part in the business and that respondent further Smith
that on referring to the Globe he saw the said Commissioner did
wait some delay his proceedings for a considerable time in the
hope that some of the parishioners would notwithstanding have
attended but in vain

That respondent further Smith he deposes
that the said Commissioner did refuse to hear the evidence of
William Brown in said appeal particularly mentioning as
having been a collector of the said parish for several years
previous to the year 1830 for that respondent Smith the said
Brown never was offered as a witness by said Parishioners nor
did they offer any evidence whatever on the contrary the said
O'Laughnessy and his party refused to be present at the trial
of the above case and that respondent Smith that the only
application made on the said 8th day of October on the part
or behalf of the said Parishioners to the knowledge or belief
of this respondent concerning which which was refused
was the following that is to say after the proceedings before the Com.
were concluded the said Patrick O'Laughnessy appeared by said the